

To: Compton, Harry[Compton.Harry@epa.gov]
From: Nguyen, Lyndsey
Sent: Wed 8/10/2016 9:37:42 PM
Subject: RE: Niagara ASPECT Flight? Decision ASAP
[ASPECT-Daly Email History.pdf](#)

Just in case you want some of the back stories, attached are Eric's correspondence with CMAD.

Lyndsey

Lyndsey Nguyen

Environmental Response Team-Las Vegas

Phone: 702.784.8018

Cell: 702-373-3756

Email: Nguyen.Lyndsey@EPA.gov

From: Compton, Harry
Sent: Wednesday, August 10, 2016 2:09 PM
To: Nguyen, Lyndsey <Nguyen.Lyndsey@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Niagara ASPECT Flight? Decision ASAP

Ahhh, I see the problem.

From: Nguyen, Lyndsey
Sent: Wednesday, August 10, 2016 4:15 PM
To: Compton, Harry <Compton.Harry@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Niagara ASPECT Flight? Decision ASAP

I went to school with Ashlee Dailey, I've been to both baby showers, and I am very close with most of the DOE's AMS program. I was told that the Niagara Falls sites were taken off of the list months ago before the exercise began. That's why DOE does not have a product for Niagara Falls. My site in Mount Kisco (CRU, Canadian Radium and Uranium) has products from DOE's

AMS and EPA's ASPECT—CRU was a part of the exercise.

Lyndsey

Lyndsey Nguyen

Environmental Response Team-Las Vegas

Phone: 702.784.8018

Cell: 702-373-3756

Email: Nguyen.Lyndsey@EPA.gov

From: Compton, Harry
Sent: Wednesday, August 10, 2016 1:09 PM
To: Nguyen, Lyndsey <Nguyen.Lyndsey@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Niagara ASPECT Flight? Decision ASAP

FYI

From: Scozzafava, MichaelE
Sent: Wednesday, August 10, 2016 4:06 PM
To: Compton, Harry <Compton.Harry@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Niagara ASPECT Flight? Decision ASAP

FYI – might want to share with Lyndsey

From: Fitz-James, Schatzi
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2016 1:56 PM
To: Carpenter, Angela <Carpenter.Angela@epa.gov>; Scozzafava, MichaelE <Scozzafava.MichaelE@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Niagara ASPECT Flight? Decision ASAP

Info re: timing of ASPECT flyover of Niagara sites. Further down the string it appears Joe Rotola and Eric Mosher were both aware of the flyover, which originally started as part of DHS HS Wings exercise.

From: Kudarauskas, Paul
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2016 1:39 PM
To: Fitz-James, Schatzi <Fitz-James.Schatzi@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Niagara ASPECT Flight? Decision ASAP

Paul G. Kudarauskas, "Kuda"
Chief, Field Operations Branch
CBRN Consequence Management Advisory Division

Office of Emergency Management
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Mobile: [202-344-5382](tel:202-344-5382) Office: [202-564-2415](tel:202-564-2415)

From: Daly, Eric
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2016 1:04 PM
To: Kudarauskas, Paul <Kudarauskas.Paul@epa.gov>
Cc: Rotola, Joe <Rotola.Joe@epa.gov>; Nalipinski, Mike <Nalipinski.Mike@epa.gov>; Mosher, Eric <Mosher.Eric@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Niagara ASPECT Flight? Decision ASAP

Good Afternoon:

I am driving from Maryland to Niagara right now. Below is the last info I can find from John Cardarelli in April. I am fine with a flyover at the sites. We would just use as additional updated aerial information.

Thanks

Regards,

Eric

"We must, indeed, all hang together, or assuredly we shall all hang separately", Benjamin Franklin
Eric M. Daly
On-Scene Coordinator/Radiological Response Specialist
US Environmental Protection Agency- Region II

ERRD/RPB/PPS
2890 Woodbridge Avenue
Edison, NJ 08837
daly.eric@epa.gov
732-321-4350

From: Cardarelli, John
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2016 1:40 PM
To: Ferriola, Mike <Ferriola.Mike@epa.gov>; Dailey, Ashlee <daileyar@nv.doe.gov>
Cc: Kudarauskas, Paul <Kudarauskas.Paul@epa.gov>; Thomas, Mark J. <Thomas.Markj@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: WINGS 2016

Hi Mike,

Based on everything I know right now, R2 will NOT be charged for any of these sites. It's not clear if all three EPA sites will be surveyed yet or not. But it is my understanding that no costs will be incurred by R2.

The two sites near Niagara Falls are on our list of potential sites. I believe the Mt. Kisco, NY site is still on the list too, but I will confirm with Ashlee (via this email).

Mark Thomas is playing a new role within FRMAC for the WINGS exercise and will be working closely with Ashlee in choosing the sites to be surveyed during the exercise and which aircraft will be selected for the job. It could be ASPECT, DOE Helicopters, or a State aircraft. My guess is that ASPECT would be a likely candidate for the Niagara sites given it's remote location from our base of operations. I've cc'd him on this exchange since he'll be in a better situation than me to answer your questions. This is part of an exercise, so some info may not be readily available, but we recognize you need to coordinate with the sites so we'll make sure you get everything you need.

Mark or Ashlee,

Please chime in with any new information

On Jun 23, 2016, at 12:53 PM, Kudarauskas, Paul <Kudarauskas.Paul@epa.gov> wrote:

Pulling in Eric. Will call when I can find a quiet spot.

On Jun 23, 2016, at 12:51 PM, Rotola, Joe <Rotola.Joe@epa.gov> wrote:

I'm for it but defer to the OSC's since they have a better handle on what there addition data needs are. I would think it would be very helpful at our Trinity Cemetery site. I'm ccing Eric Daly on this to get his input.

Thanks for the offer.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2016, at 10:46 AM, Nalipinski, Mike <Nalipinski.Mike@epa.gov> wrote:

Hey Joe- I spoke w/ Kuda and CMAD can conduct a fly over the Niagara sites on the flight from the WINGs exercise back to TX with no cost to the Region.

However the decision will have to be made ASAP. Kuda will reach out to Eric Daly to see if he wants this support.

I'm offering the above info FYI to advise you that Kuda will reach out to your OSC.
Any help you could offer to expedite a decision that would be appreciated.

Mike

Mike Nalipinski

Associate Director, CBRN Consequence Management Division

U.S. EPA

mobile (617) 680 5469

Sent from my EPA iPhone